

Culdaff River and Tributaries Catchment Status Report 2008



Loughs Agency of the
Foyle Carlingford and
Irish Lights Commission



Culdaff River and Tributaries Catchment Status Report 2008



Report Reference LA/CSR/03/09

Headquarters

22, Victoria Road
Londonderry
BT47 2AB
Northern Ireland

Tel: +44(0)28 71 342100

Fax: +44(0)28 71 342720

general@loughs-agency.org

www.loughs-agency.org

Regional Office

Dundalk Street
Carlingford
Co Louth
Republic of Ireland

Tel+353(0)42 938 3888

Fax+353(0)42 938 3888

[carlingford@loughs-](mailto:carlingford@loughs-agency.org)
[agency.org](mailto:carlingford@loughs-agency.org)

www.loughs-agency.org

Inside cover picture of maturing cock salmon
courtesy of Atlantic Salmon Trust



Written and Prepared by:
Art Niven
Rachel Scott
Declan Lawlor
Siobhán Sweeney

Table of Contents

1.0	INTRODUCTION.....	6
	Fig 1.0 Main salmon producing rivers and tributaries in the Loughs Agency, Foyle and Carlingford areas.....	7
1.1	The Culdaff Catchment	8
	Fig 1.1 Culdaff estuary on the North Inishowen Coast	8
	Fig 1.11 Foyle and Carlingford catchments illustrating the main rivers of the systems.....	9
1.2	Atlantic Salmon and Sea Trout.....	10
1.3	Non Salmonid Fish Species.....	10
	Fig 1.3 Sample of fish from the Foyle estuary.....	10
2.0	ATLANTIC SALMON STOCKS.....	11
2.1	Redd Counts	11
	Table 2.1 Redd counts for Foyle system and Culdaff catchment 2004/05 – 2008/09.....	11
	Fig 2.1 Redd counts for Foyle system 2004/05 – 2008/09.	12
	Fig 2.11 Redd counts for Foyle system 1952/53 – 2008/09	12
2.2	Juvenile Abundance	13
	Table 2.2 Loughs Agency semi-quantitative electrofishing classification system	14
	Fig 2.2 Culdaff river catchment salmon fry index 1998-2008.....	14
	Fig 2.21. The mean abundance of salmon fry in 17 catchments in 2008	15
	Fig 2.22 Salmon 0+ electrofishing site classification 2008.....	16
3.0	TROUT STOCKS	17
	Fig 3.0 Electrofishing survey and trout parr.....	17
	Fig 3.01 River Cudaff catchment trout fry index 1998-2008	17
	Fig 3.02. The mean abundance of trout fry in 17 catchments in 2008.....	18
	Fig 3.03 Trout 0+ electrofishing site classification 2008	19
4.0	MARINE SURVIVAL	20
	Table 4.0 Marine survival rates for the River Bush of 1SW grilse.....	20
	Fig 4.0 Proposed marine survey areas for salmon in 2008.....	21
	Fig 4a RV Celtic Explorer SALSEA research cruise 2008	22
	Figure 4b Picture from the Irish Research Vessel Celtic Explorer	22
	Figure 4c Rotary screw trap in position on the River Finn	23

Table 4.01 Numbers and average weight and length of salmon smolts tagged.....	23
Table 4.02 Recapture data from River Finn CWT programme.....	24
Figure 4d Salmon smolt run timing and abundance from rotary screw trap sub sample	24
Table 4.03 Age class of salmon smolts migrating from the Faughan catchment	24
Fig 4.01. Atlantic salmon smolts from the Finn Catchment.....	25
5.0 ADULT ABUNDANCE.....	26
5.1 Recreational Fisheries	26
Table 5.1 Declared rod catch returns for salmon and trout in the Foyle and Carlingford areas..	26
Table 5.11 Declared catch from the Culdaff catchment for salmon and sea trout 2001-2008	27
Fig 5.1 Angler on the river.....	27
5.2 Commercial Fisheries	27
Table 5.2 Declared catch from the commercial salmon fisheries 1998-2008.	28
Fig 5.2 Commercial Fishing	28
5.3 Counters.....	29
Fig 5.3 Fish counting facilities at Sion Mills, River Mourne.....	29
Table 5.3 Sion Mills fish counter figures 2002-2008.....	29
Fig 5.31 Monthly fish counts on the River Mourne 2004-2008 as recorded at Sion Mills	30
Fig 5.32 Annual fish counts on the River Mourne 1987-2008	30
5.4 Conservation Limits/Spawning targets	31
Table 5.4 Upstream of Sion Mills estimated egg deposition 2005-2008.....	30
6.0 HABITAT MONITORING	32
Fig 6.0 Life cycle unit.....	32
Fig 6.01 Examples of spawning, nursery and holding habitat	32
Fig 6.02 Habitat overview key for Culdaff catchment	33
7.0 LAND USE	34
Fig 7.0 Culdaff catchment land use classification	34
Fig 7.01. Culdaff catchment land use classification map	35
8.0 WATER QUALITY.....	36
Fig 8.0 Loughs Agency chemical water quality testing in the laboratory	36
Fig 8.01 Culdaff catchment average suspended solids results 2008.	39
Fig 8.02 Culdaff catchment Ammonia results 2008.....	40
Fig 8.03 Culdaff catchment phosphorous results 2008.	41
Fig 8.04 Culdaff catchment Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD) results 2008.....	42
Fig 8.05 Culdaff catchment Biological Monitoring Working Party results 2008.....	43

Table 8.0 Biological Q values.....	44
Table 8.01 Biological water quality ratings	44
8.1 Favourable condition tables, target levels.....	45
Table 8.1 Favourable condition targets for Atlantic salmon.....	45
Fig 8.1 Culdaff catchment Biological Q values	46
9.0 CONSERVATION AND PROTECTION	47
Table 9.0 Breakdown of conservation and protection duties 2006 - 2008	47
Table 9.01 Seized nets, salmon, rod/reels and vehicles in the Foyle system 2002-2008.....	47
Table 9.02 Seizures in the Culdaff catchment 2006-2008	47
9.1 Habitat Improvement Works	48
10.0 ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES.....	48
11.0 DESIGNATED AREAS	50
12.0 GENETIC STUDY.....	50
13.0 POLLUTION MONITORING	51
14.0 FISHERY OFFICERS CULDAFF AREA REPORT 2008.....	51
14.1 Fishery Officers Report	Error! Bookmark not defined.
15.0 ACTIONS FOR 2009.....	51
15.1 Foyle and Carlingford Areas Ongoing Actions for 2009	51
15.2 Culdaff Catchment Potential Habitat Improvement Schemes for 2009	52
15.3 Culdaff Catchment Specific Actions for 2009.....	53

Culdaff River and Tributaries Catchment Status Report 2008

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The annual Culdaff River and Tributaries Catchment Status Report has been updated to include results collated on the fishery resources within the catchment in 2008 and reports and discusses additional environmental information which when combined can facilitate in the sustainable management of aquatic resources within the catchment.

This is one of an annual series of catchment status reports produced by the Loughs Agency. The primary objective of the catchment status reports is to disseminate catchment specific information to all interested stakeholders. Over recent years the catchment status reports have been consulted widely by a variety of stakeholders including local angling associations, fishery owners, statutory bodies, environmental consultants and private individuals. The catchment status reports provide summary data which demonstrates the work that the Loughs Agency conducts within specific catchments and outlines catchment specific objectives.

The theme for the 2008 catchment status reports is aquatic and riparian habitats. Both habitats are essential for a balanced ecosystem ensuring that the biodiversity of wild salmonids and other native flora and fauna is preserved.

Significant focus has been placed on aquatic resource management by a combination of national, European and international legislation and conventions. In 2009 the first River Basin Management plans produced under the European Union Water Framework Directive will outline a structure for classifying all water bodies as having good ecological status. This will be an iterative process over many reporting cycles with significant improvements in water quality expected over coming years. Partnership development and collaborative working has been highlighted as an essential mechanism to achieve this and the Loughs Agency is playing an active role as a significant stakeholder and manager of aquatic resources within the Foyle and Carlingford areas.

In addition the European Union Habitats Directive and Birds Directive have resulted in the designation of Special Areas of Conservation (SAC) and Special Protection Areas (SPA). Together these designations have formed the NATURA 2000 network of protected sites. Throughout the Foyle and Carlingford areas there are significant areas designated as SAC or SPA. Under the SAC designation Atlantic salmon is one of the main species that led to the significant number of Foyle area tributaries being

designated. Designation provides an additional mechanism for the protection and conservation of these important aquatic ecosystems.

Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland are also a signatory through their membership of the European Union to the North Atlantic Salmon Conservation Organisation (NASCO) convention for the conservation of salmon in the North Atlantic Ocean. Contracting parties are required to contribute towards the compilation and implementation of focus area reports the most recent of which was on the Protection Restoration and Enhancement of Salmon Habitat. The Loughs Agency provided input for areas within its jurisdiction.




Figure 1.0 Main salmon producing rivers and tributaries in the Loughs Agency, Foyle and Carlingford areas. Foyle 1 Burn Dennet, 2 Camowen, 3 Culdaff, 4 Deelee, 5 Derg, 6 Drumragh, 7 Fairywater, 8 Faughan, 9 Finn, 10 Muff, 11 Glenelly, 12 Glenmornan, 13 Mourne, 14 Owenkillew, 15 Owenreagh East, 16 Owenreagh South, 17 Roe, 18 Strule, 19 River Foyle (tidal). Carlingford Only the Whitewater (10) has a consistent population of Atlantic salmon present. 1 Bessbrook, 2 Cassy Water, 3 Clanrye, 4 Ghann, 5 Greenore, 6 Killbroney, 7 Moygannon, 8 Newry, 9 Ryland, 10 Whitewater

Under the Foyle Fisheries Acts 1952 and the recent amendment by the Foyle and Carlingford Fisheries Act/Order 2007 there are various legislative requirements and offences including under the 2007 legislation a new offence of removal of any bed material from the freshwater portion of any river in the Foyle or Carlingford areas without consent of the Loughs Agency. This has provided an important control on activities likely to impact directly on aquatic habitats and indirectly on fish populations.

1.1 The Culdaff Catchment

The Culdaff River rises in the hills around Crockroosky and Crockatlishna located in the north east of Inishowen. Upland areas within the catchment are covered by bog land supporting vegetation dominated by rough upland grasses, heathers, mosses and lichens. The dominant land use in the uplands is rough grazing for sheep and commercial forestry. The Culdaff River and tributaries are surrounded by pockets of native woodland. Lowland land use is dominated by rough grazing and pasture farmland.

The Culdaff River and tributaries have a channel length of approximately 20 km and has a catchment area of approximately 262km² including other smaller rivers which discharge directly to Lough Foyle.

The Culdaff catchment is impacted upon by a wide range of anthropogenic factors within both the terrestrial and aquatic environments. A diverse array of impacts include amongst others; agriculture, sand and gravel extraction, commercial forestry, commercial and recreational fishing, industry, water abstraction, sewage treatment, diffuse and point source pollution, invasive plant species, urban sprawl and flood defences.

Increasing pressures on the aquatic environment within the Culdaff catchment requires appropriate monitoring, control and remediation if native biodiversity is to be preserved.

As the competent authority for fishery issues within the catchment the Loughs Agency are required to fulfil a variety of national and international obligations. European Directives including the Habitats Directive and Water Framework Directive and the transposing national legislation have assisted in creating a legislative framework in which to drive forward sustainable management of riparian and aquatic habitats and the native species which inhabit them.



Fig 1.1 Culdaff estuary on the North Inishowen Coast




Fig 1.11 Foyle and Carlingford catchments illustrating the main rivers of the systems and highlighting the Culdaff River and Tributaries

1.2 Atlantic Salmon and Sea Trout

Salmon and Sea Trout are referred to as being anadromous meaning that they migrate between the freshwater and marine environments returning to freshwater to reproduce. This complex life history exposes them to varied environmental pressures and recreational and commercial fisheries.

Adult Atlantic salmon return to their natal rivers where spawning takes place. Sea trout also demonstrate an ability to return to their natal river but their homing instinct may not be as strong as those of the Atlantic salmon. After the eggs hatch the juveniles (initially referred to as fry and then parr) remain in freshwater for up to three years.

Smoltification is the physiological adaptation which occurs when the juvenile salmon change from the parr stage (freshwater phase) to the smolt stage (marine phase). In the Foyle system this can occur after one, two or three years. Most Foyle salmon (referred to as post smolts) will remain after smoltification in the North Atlantic for one year and are referred to on their return to the coast and rivers as grilse. Salmon which stay at sea for longer than one year are referred to as multi sea winter (MSW) salmon.

1.3 Non Salmonid Fish Species

As highlighted earlier populations of other non salmonid fish species occur within the Culdaff catchment. In the past monitoring was targeted at salmonid species however with obligations under the Water Framework Directive other non salmonid fish species are being monitored more closely.

Fish species presence and abundance can act as a good environmental/ecological indicator demonstrating the ability of the aquatic habitat to support a diverse array of native species. Populations of Arctic Charr, European Eel, River/Brook and Sea Lamprey form an important part of the native fisheries biodiversity of the Culdaff catchment. Maintaining high standards of water quality and appropriate habitat for these species is essential for the overall health of the aquatic ecosystem.



Fig 1.3 Sample of fish from the Foyle estuary

2.0 ATLANTIC SALMON STOCKS

In order to describe the status of salmon stocks each of the following points need to be considered:

- Redd Counts
- Juvenile abundance
- Marine survival
- Adult abundance
- Exploitation

2.1 Redd Counts

Redds are spawning nests created by salmon or trout. Differentiation between salmon and trout redds can be made as salmon redds tend to be larger in size and trout tend to spawn earlier than salmon within the Foyle system. Research within the Foyle system using extensive annual redd count data has highlighted a good relationship between the number of redds and the total annual catch of salmon. Table 2.1 shows redd count data for the Culdaff catchment and the Foyle system. Water flow is of significance when monitoring redds as in high water conditions the ability to see and count redds in rivers is impaired. Figure 2.1 outlines redd counts within the Foyle area and the Culdaff catchment.

	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09
Foyle System	2478	5354	*1338	3039	5507
Culdaff Catchment	N/A	32	N/A	N/A	16
Culdaff as a % of Foyle	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	0.3

Table 2.1 Redd counts for Foyle system and Culdaff catchment 2004/05 – 2008/09. *Note 2006/07 had extremely poor water conditions for redd counting




Fig 2.1 Redd counts for Foyle system 2004/05 – 2008/09. *Note 2006/07 had extremely poor water conditions for redd counting




Fig 2.11 Redd counts for Foyle system 1952/53 – 2008/09

2.2 Juvenile Abundance

Within the Loughs Agency jurisdiction trends in abundance of juvenile salmonids are monitored by annual semi-quantitative electrofishing surveys. The numbers, age and species of fish captured during five minute timed electrofishing surveys are compared with previous year's data allowing for change to be monitored, facilitating suitable fishery management practices to be implemented.

In 2008 a total of 403 sites were semi-quantitatively electrofished within the Foyle system. The results for each site for salmon and trout are classified as excellent (>25 fish), good (15-24 fish), fair (5-15 fish), poor (1-4 fish) and absent (0 fish), Table 2.2. Figures 2.2 - 2.22 outline the salmon 0+ electrofishing results and site classifications for the Culdaff catchment in 2008. Please consult previous status reports for site classifications in other years.

Semi-quantitative electrofishing was developed to monitor 0+ salmonids (fry/young of the year). In order to quantify the abundance of 1+ salmonids (parr and older) fully quantitative electrofishing surveys are required which can be used to calculate fish densities within a defined area. Rivers and tributaries with good environmental quality are more likely to support good populations of each year class.

Fish populations can vary considerably over time and location, it is therefore necessary to monitor the populations over a period of years to highlight meaningful trends before considering remedial activities such as habitat improvement works. These trends are being continually monitored by the Loughs Agency and the most appropriate management options considered.

There are a variety of reasons why electrofishing sites may be perceived to be under producing, these can include, lack of suitable juvenile habitat, the presence of impassable obstacles to migratory fish species on lower sections of a tributary, pollution, inconsiderate channel maintenance, tunnelling by bank side vegetation, stream gradient and poor forestry practices etc. The critical point is to recognise the major factors at play and to investigate all possible reasons for underproduction accepting that there may be inherent reasons as to why production may not be improved upon in certain areas. When the same areas are surveyed for other non salmonid species it may be discovered that they provide habitat more suited to these species. Habitat improvement works and the rationale behind them are discussed in greater detail later.

Obligations under the Water Framework Directive are driving quantitative surveys of both salmonid and non salmonid species under proposed Surveillance, Operational, Investigative and Protected Area monitoring programmes.






Symbol	Grade	Number of 0+ Salmonids
	Excellent	>25
	Good	15-24
	Fair	5-14
	Poor	1-4
	Absent	0

Table 2.2 Loughs Agency semi-quantitative electrofishing classification system for 0+ salmon and trout




Fig 2.2 Culdaff river catchment salmon fry index 1998-2008. Note number of sites surveyed has fluctuated annually from 4 in 1998 to 8 in 2008.




Fig 2.21. The mean abundance of salmon fry in 17 catchments in 2008 from semi quantitative electrofishing.




Fig 2.22 Salmon 0+ electrofishing site classification 2008

3.0 TROUT STOCKS

Annual trends in the populations of juvenile trout are also monitored within the Loughs Agency jurisdiction using the same methodology and classification system as those employed for salmon. The semi quantitative electrofishing results for trout fry in the Culdaff catchment and site classifications are displayed in Figs 3.0, 3.01, 3.02 & 3.03.



Fig 3.0 Electrofishing survey and trout parr




Fig 3.01 River Cudaff catchment trout fry index 1998-2008. Note number of sites surveyed has fluctuated annually from 4 in 1998 to 8 in 2008.




Fig 3.02. The mean abundance of trout fry in 17 catchments in 2008 from semi quantitative electrofishing. Note Sites selected were biased towards salmon habitat




Fig 3.03 Trout 0+ electrofishing site classification 2008

4.0 MARINE SURVIVAL

The numbers of salmon that survive to return to the freshwater environment are greatly influenced by conditions in the marine environment. Climate change leading to changes in sea surface temperatures, prey abundance, high seas fishing, marine pollution, sub lethal levels of pollution and predation all have an effect on the Atlantic salmon and indeed other migratory fish species chances of survival.

Marine survival trends are monitored on a number of index rivers in the North East Atlantic where total trapping facilities are available for both migrating juvenile and adult populations. Total trapping allows for an accurate count of all migrant smolts (total freshwater production) and returning adults to be made and therefore an accurate estimate of marine survival. These projects are facilitated by the use of Coded Wire Tags (CWT). Coded wire tags are small (2-3mm long) micro tags that are injected automatically by a CWT device into the snout cartilage of anaesthetised fish remaining there for the duration of the life of the fish. CWT fish also have their adipose fin (small fin between the dorsal fin and caudal fin (tail fin)) removed so that they can be identified in the various fisheries that may intercept them. In Ireland a comprehensive screening programme is conducted at all major landing ports and markets. This programme is important in monitoring the effect of the remaining salmon fisheries on salmon stocks from rivers both within and outside of the island of Ireland.

Trends in marine survival for the River Bush (nearest index river to the Foyle system) confirm patterns observed elsewhere on the southern stocks of North Eastern Atlantic salmon, which indicate that marine survival can be variable between stocks and years. In the River Bush marine survival has decreased considerably over recent years as outlined in Table 4.0.

Year of Smolt Cohort	Year of Returning 1SW Grilse	Marine Survival %
Pre 1996	Pre 1998	Circa 30%
2002	2003	5.9
2003	2004	4.3
2004	2005	4.6
2005	2006	4.2
2006	2007	13.0
2007	2008	7.5

Table 4.0 Marine survival rates for the River Bush of 1SW grilse (after exploitation at sea) pre 1996 and 2002-2007 smolt cohort. Data supplied by Agri Food and Bioscience Institute, River Bush Salmon Research Station

The figures outlined in table 4.0 are mirrored by those for other index rivers monitoring the southern stocks of North Eastern Atlantic salmon populations. These figures suggest that salmon are facing increased pressure for survival at sea. A major new international research project called SALSEA - Merge has been developed by scientists from the North Atlantic Salmon Conservation Organisation (NASCO) parties and its research wing the International Atlantic Salmon Research Board (IASRB). There are twenty consortium members in total including the Loughs Agency. SALSEA aims to monitor how Atlantic salmon use the ocean; where they go; how they use ocean currents, and the ocean's food resources, and what factors influence migration and distribution at sea. Research cruises commenced in 2008 and will continue in 2009 to collect the necessary data to answer the questions listed above. Over 426 post smolts were caught by the two Irish cruises and 363 post smolts caught by the Faroese in the areas highlighted below. Further information and project details can be found at: <http://www.nasco.int/sas/salsea.htm>




Fig 4.0 Proposed marine survey areas for salmon in 2008



Fig 4a RV Celtic Explorer SALSEA research cruise 2008



Figure 4b Picture from the Irish Research Vessel Celtic Explorer taken during the second SALSEA research cruise 16-24th May 2008

Since 2002 partial smolt trapping including CWT tagging has been conducted in the Finn catchment using a rotary screw trap, Fig 4c.



Figure 4c Rotary screw trap in position on the River Finn directly below the adult trap at Killygordon fish counting station.

Smolt trapping can have a number of objectives including the monitoring of both salmonid and non salmonid species. Sampling of the age composition, obtaining information on run timing and recording length/weight data is conducted in tandem with the tagging programme. As mentioned above total counts of migrating smolts can be made on rivers. Where this is unfeasible due to the absence of total trapping facilities, total smolt migration can be estimated by means of a mark-recapture experiment.

Tables 4.01 and 4.02 outline numbers of salmon smolts tagged from 2002-2006 (no tagging took place in 2007 and 2008) and recapture data for 2003 and 2007.

Year	Number of Smolts Tagged	Mean Length (mm)	Mean Weight (g)
2002	690	125	19.8
2003	2252	127	20.2
2004	773	122	18.0
2005	390	129	20.5
2006	779	120	19.3

Table 4.01 Numbers and average weight and length of salmon smolts tagged on the River Finn 2002-2006. Coded Wire Tagging equipment was purchased by the Loughs Agency in 2005 with funding secured from the European Regional Development Fund through the INTERREG IIIA Programme, administered by the Environment and Heritage Service, on behalf of the Department of Environment.

Year Tagged	Year Recaptured	Numbers Recaptured	Recapture Location
2002	2003	10	Donegal, Foyle Area, North Coast, Mayo, Galway and River Finn
	2004	1	
2003	2004	25	Donegal, Foyle Area, Mayo, Kerry and River Finn
2004	2005	8	Greencastle
2005	2006	1	Torr Head
2006	2007	8	Ballycastle, River Finn, Lough Foyle and Greencastle

Table 4.02 Recapture data from River Finn CWT programme.




Figure 4d Salmon smolt run timing and abundance from rotary screw trap sub sample, River Finn 2004-2006. Breaks in data are due to closure of trap during high water conditions

In 2004 a detailed examination was carried out on the age class of migrating salmon smolts in the Faughan catchment, Table 4.03.

Age at Smolting	%
1	13
2	83
3	4

Table 4.03 Age class of salmon smolts migrating from the Faughan catchment in 2004



Fig 4.01. From top to bottom, Atlantic salmon smolts from the Finn Catchment, brook lamprey, river lamprey and sea lamprey also caught in the River Finn smolt trap

5.0 ADULT ABUNDANCE

Adult Atlantic salmon abundance is assessed in three ways: directly by using commercial netting/recreational rod catches and fish counters and indirectly by reference to conservation limits/spawning targets.

Using catch data as a measure of population status is a well established and extensively used technique. In the Foyle system annual commercial and recreational catch data has been recorded since the establishment of the Foyle Fisheries Commission in 1952, with some data available before this period. The relationship between catch and stock is complex and care should be applied in interpretation. A more precise measure of catch incorporates fishing effort (number of licences issued or the amount of time fished) and is referred to as catch per unit effort (CPUE).

5.1 Recreational Fisheries

One problem encountered when analysing catch data is unreported catch. All recreational fishers are required by law to make catch returns. This information facilitates management decision making and therefore it is vitally important that all catch returns are accurate and made promptly at the seasons end.

Year	Declared Rod Catch Salmon	Declared Rod Catch Sea Trout	Returns as a % of Licences Issued
1999	1022	679	3.74
2000	723	417	2.55
2001	3188	450	17.68
2002	5117	1010	27.93
2003	1844	361	15.5
2004	2285	75	13.99
2005	4084	413	25.77
2006	3476	469	37
2007	4929	379	22.11
2008	4060	815	54.94

Table 5.1 Declared rod catch returns for salmon and trout in the Foyle and Carlingford areas. Note figures include the Clanrye and Whitewater in the Carlingford area from 2001 onwards. Carcass tagging was introduced in 2001.

Year	Declared Catch Culdaff Catchment Salmon	Declared Catch Culdaff Catchment Sea Trout
2001	0	0
2002	0	4
2003	0	0
2004	0	0
2005	1	3
2006	0	0
2007	0	0
2008	0	0

Table 5.11 Declared catch from the Culdaff catchment for salmon and sea trout 2001-2008



Fig 5.1 Angler on the river

5.2 Commercial Fisheries

Commercial fisheries have traditionally operated within the Foyle sea area, Lough Foyle and tidal River Foyle. The drift net and draft net fisheries as well as the rod fisheries have been closely regulated with a real time management regime in place to monitor the numbers of fish migrating up key rivers. If predetermined numbers of fish have not been counted by the strategically placed electronic fish counters at Sion Mills weir (River Mourne), Campsie Barrage (River Faughan) and the Plumb

Hole (River Roe) then specified closures of the commercial and/or recreational fisheries are enforced.

In 2007 new regulations were introduced to reduce the number of commercial nets operating within the Foyle area and all mixed stock interceptory drift nets seaward of Lough Foyle were curtailed. This decision was made to comply with the EU Habitats Directive, similar curtailment of mixed stock fisheries were introduced in the Republic of Ireland. Within the Foyle area this was achieved through a voluntary hardship scheme. 18 out of 112 drift nets remain in Lough Foyle, those remaining have been reduced in size from 900m to 500m and 10 out of 50 draft nets remain. This represents a significant reduction of netting effort. Regulations were also introduced to limit the numbers of fish which could be retained by the recreational rod fishery throughout the Foyle and Carlingford areas.

Year	Drift Catch	Draft Catch	Total Drift and Draft
1998	31296	11141	42437
1999	15397	7893	23290
2000	22333	10339	32672
2001	13500	9476	22976
2002	28851	11917	40768
2003	15741	16991	32732
2004	12800	9490	22290
2005	13391	12143	25534
2006	6160	6031	12191
*2007	2598	2774	5372
2008	1248	2924	4172

Table 5.2 Declared catch from the commercial salmon fisheries 1998-2008. Note 100% rate of catch returns. * Reduced numbers of commercial nets operating in the Foyle area from 2007



Fig 5.2 Commercial Fishing. Draft netting on the tidal River Foyle and drift netting in Lough Foyle

5.3 Counters

Within the Foyle system a number of river catchments have electronic fish counting facilities that provide estimates on the run timing and abundance of fish >45cm. The Culdaff catchment does not have a fish counter at present. In the absence of a counter the fisheries within the Culdaff catchment are controlled by monitoring the run timing and abundance of fish passing over the Sion Mills fish counting facilities on the River Mourne. A time series of counts for the Sion Mills fish counting station on the River Mourne is outlined in table 5.3. The Sion Mills fish counting station counts fish destined for all rivers located upstream of this point and is used to control both commercial and recreational fishing within the entire Foyle system.



Fig 5.3 Fish counting facilities at Sion Mills, River Mourne

Year	Number of fish >45cm
2002	12991
2003	12129
2004	10270
2005	9397
2006	9926
*2007	*3714
*2008	*3452

Table 5.3 Sion Mills fish counter figures 2002-2008. *Note low counts in 2007 and 2008 were influenced by high water levels during peak run timing during June and July. Sion Mills is a partial counter and does not cover the entire length of the weir. In high water levels as experienced in 2007 and 2008 significant numbers of fish can bypass the counting channels. Methods to reduce this are being investigated as part of the Fish Counter Programme Review, commissioned in 2008.




Fig 5.31 Monthly fish counts on the River Mourne 2004-2008 as recorded at Sion Mills




Fig 5.32 Annual fish counts on the River Mourne 1987-2008

5.4 Conservation Limits/Spawning targets

Another way to assess adult salmon stock status is to monitor run sizes on rivers and to compare them with predefined reference points called conservation limits. In the Foyle system the conservation limits define a level of spawning that optimises the sustainable catch by commercial and recreational fisheries. If exploitation rates increase above the sustainable catch levels the catch may increase in the short-term but the stock will eventually reduce. Conservation limits demarcate the spawning stock level at which recruitment would begin to decline significantly (NASCO). The real time management regime incorporating the setting of management targets and spawning targets implemented in the Foyle aims to manage the fisheries and spawning populations in a sustainable manner. The management and spawning targets are set for the various river catchments based on the amount and quality of nursery habitat present. River habitat surveys are carried out along each stretch of river and graded according to the type and quality. Egg deposition levels are set according to the quality grading of each section of nursery habitat.

There are four grades of nursery habitat, however for the purpose of setting egg deposition levels only grades 1-3 are utilised. Grade 1 denotes the best quality habitat. The egg deposition rate/carrying capacity is set as follows. Grade 1 = 10 eggs per m², grade 2 = 5 eggs per m² and grade 3 = 2.5 eggs per m². The total number of eggs is calculated by multiplying the area of each grade of nursery habitat by the appropriate density of eggs per m². 25% is deducted from the management target allowing for loss of salmon by angling (15%) and poaching and predation (10%). The remaining figure is referred to as the conservation limit/spawning target.

Once the number of eggs required for each river has been established this can be converted to a total number of fish required to achieve the management targets and conservation limit/spawning targets. The average fecundity (number of eggs produced per female) of Foyle salmon has been estimated at 2500 and the ratio of female to male salmon estimated at 60:40. When combined with the amount of nursery habitat of the various grades this equates to the conservation limit/spawning target. A management target of 8000 adult Atlantic salmon has been set for above Sion Mills, this equates to a conservation limit/spawning target of over 6000 salmon.

Year	No of Fish Across Counter	Estimated Egg Deposition
2005	9397	10,571,625
2006	9926	11,166,750
*2007	*3714	*4,178,250
*2008	*3452	*3,883,500

Table 5.4 Upstream of Sion Mills estimated egg deposition 2005-2008. *Note 2007 and 2008 figures are minimum estimates of escapement due to high water levels resulting in the bypassing of the Sion Mills counting channels.

6.0 HABITAT MONITORING

The Loughs Agency has carried out extensive habitat surveys on all the major rivers and tributaries within the Foyle and Carlingford catchments. Habitat surveys are carried out on foot. Although time consuming this is at present the best method for classifying the various grades of habitat. Habitat is classified into one of three life cycle units Fig 6.0, the presence and order of which is essential to the productive capacity of a salmonid river. Other non salmonid species also benefit from diverse in-channel habitat. The life cycle unit categories include spawning, nursery and holding habitat. Each category is then graded on a scale of 1-4, 1 representing the best quality attainable and 4 the worst. Other data collected during these surveys include channel width and impassable barriers to migratory fish species.




Fig 6.0 Life cycle unit depicting the type of habitat found in spawning, nursery and holding zones



Fig 6.01 Examples of spawning, nursery and holding habitat




Fig 6.02 Habitat overview key for Culdaff catchment

7.0 LAND USE

Land use classification is an important tool when assessing the potential impacts within a particular river catchment or indeed when looking at specific land use and land management practices. Land use impacts could have either a positive or negative impact on rivers and tributaries. A good understanding of the land use within a catchment is therefore imperative in managing at a catchment scale.

Land use in Northern Ireland has been captured using satellite imaging technology and classified to type. The following figures outline the broad land use classification within the Culdaff Catchment.




Fig 7.0 Culdaff catchment land use classification




Fig 7.01. Culdaff catchment land use classification map

8.0 WATER QUALITY

Routine water quality monitoring within the Foyle and Carlingford areas is conducted by the Northern Ireland Environment Agency (NIEA) of the Department of the Environment for Northern Ireland and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in conjunction with the County Councils in the Republic of Ireland (Donegal County Council and Louth County Council). Sampling is conducted for both chemical and biological Quality Assessments. In the Culdaff catchment chemical water quality monitoring is conducted by Donegal County Council and biological monitoring is conducted by the Environmental Protection Agency.

In addition to the routine river monitoring carried out by the NIEA and the County Councils the Loughs Agency conducts proactive and reactive pollution investigations to investigate or highlight problems or potential problems which may have an effect on the aquatic environment and ultimately on the fish species and aquatic habitats.

In 2007 the Loughs Agency instigated a programme of monitoring at the tributary level for assessments of chemical and biological water quality. Four stations on tributaries of the River Culdaff were monitored for chemical water quality parameters including Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD), Suspended Solids, Ammonia and Phosphorous. Biological water quality was assessed using the Biological Monitoring Working Party (BMWP) a biotic scoring index.



Fig 8.0 Loughs Agency chemical water quality testing in the laboratory

The Loughs Agency also maintains a mobile pollution response unit containing aerating equipment and absorbent and non absorbent booms for oil and chemical spills. The unit can be rapidly deployed to the site of a pollution incident.

Water Quality Parameters

The following water quality parameters are monitored through the Loughs Agency monitoring programme and determined from water samples in the laboratory:

- Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)
- Ammonia
- Phosphorus
- Suspended Solids

BOD

Any organic matter discharged into a river provides an immediate source of food for bacteria. These bacteria will break down the organic matter eventually into simple compounds such as carbon dioxide and water. Biochemical Oxygen Demand or Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD) is a chemical procedure for determining how fast biological organisms use up oxygen in a body of water. It is considered as an indication of the quality of a watercourse

Ammonia (NH₃)

Ammonia is generally found in small amounts in rivers and streams. This is due to microbiological activity and the resultant reduction of compounds containing nitrogen. High levels of ammonia can occur as a result from sewage pollution and have detrimental impacts on fish species.

Phosphorus (PO₄)

The over-loading of nutrients such as phosphorus in watercourses often leads to a process known as eutrophication. Eutrophication is a major environmental issue in Irish rivers and lakes. Sources of phosphorus include agricultural fertilizers and household detergents.

Suspended Solids

Particulate matter may be organic or inorganic in nature. Organic solids may consist of algal growths, indicative of eutrophic conditions. Inorganic solids generally are the

result of discharge washings from sand and gravel extraction activities or quarries. Suspended solids can affect plant growth and fish habitats.

The following parameters are also recorded at each sample station by means of an electronic measuring probe:

- pH
- Temperature
- Dissolved Oxygen
- Conductivity

pH

This is a measure of the hydrogen ion concentration of a solution and therefore an indication of whether a liquid is acid or alkaline. The pH scale ranges from 0 (very acid) to 14 (very alkaline), with results generally influenced by geological conditions. Fish can be susceptible to changes in pH. Low pH levels are generally found in catchments with high forestry operation impacts.

Temperature

The effect of changes in temperature on living organisms, such as fish, can be critical. Thermal discharges from urban and industrial sources can lead to temperature increases in watercourses and increased stress on aquatic habitats and associated species.

Dissolved Oxygen

Sufficient levels of oxygen saturation in fresh waters are generally an indication of good ecological status and ideal for fish life. The main point to remember about oxygen solubility is that it has an inverse relationship with temperature. This helps explain why DO levels are generally lowest during summer low flow conditions, increasing the risk of pollution from discharges at this time.

Conductivity

The conductivity or electrical conductivity of a watercourse is a measure of its ability to conduct an electric current. Electrical conductivity estimates the amount of total dissolved salts, or the total amount of dissolved ions in the water. Electrical Conductivity is controlled by geology and any variations may be sourced to increased ions from wastewater from sewage treatment plants or urban run-off from roads.




Fig 8.01 Culdaff catchment average suspended solids results 2008. Values are in mg/l




Fig 8.02 Culdaff catchment Ammonia results 2008. Values are in mg/l




Fig 8.03 Culdaff catchment phosphorous results 2008. Values are in mg/l




Fig 8.04 Culdaff catchment Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD) results 2008. Values are in mg/l




Fig 8.05 Culdaff catchment Biological Monitoring Working Party results 2008

Biological water quality assessments conducted by the Environmental Protection Agency are based on the composition of the macroinvertebrate communities which inhabit rivers and streams. The macroinvertebrate communities are mainly comprised of immature aquatic stages of insects, crustacea (freshwater shrimps), mollusca (snails and bivalves), oligochaeta (worms) and hirudinea (leeches). Riffle sections (shallow, fast flowing, well aerated reaches), of a river are sampled as they are the most representative area of a river in relation to the water quality status.

The assessment used divides the macroinvertebrate communities into four groups, sensitive, less sensitive, tolerant and very tolerant forms. The relative proportions of the various organisms in a sample are determined and the water quality status is then inferred by comparison with the expected ratios in unpolluted habitats of the same type as those being investigated.

The assessment also takes into account the intensity of algal/weed development, water turbidity, bottom siltation, nature of the substratum, water velocity and water depth. This information is then all condensed into a five point biotic index (Q values) in which macroinvertebrate community composition and water quality are related.

Biotic Index (Q value)	Water Quality
5 (diversity high)	Very Good
4 (diversity slightly reduced)	Good
3 (diversity significantly reduced)	Fair
2 (Diversity low)	Poor
1 (diversity very low)	Bad

Table 8.0 Biological Q values

Intermediate values e.g. Q3-4 or Q1-2, are used to describe conditions where appropriate. If toxic influences are suspected the suffix 0 is appended to the relevant Q rating, e.g. Q1-2/0. Four main classes of water quality have been defined, relating to the Q value scale and indicate the degree of pollution as follows:

Quality Ratings	Category of River Water Quality
Q5, Q4-5, Q4	Unpolluted
Q3-4	Slightly polluted
Q3, Q2-3	Moderately polluted
Q2, Q1-2, Q1	Seriously polluted

Table 8.01 Biological water quality ratings

European Council Directive 92/43/EEC of the 21st of May 1992 on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and on Wild Flora and Fauna (Also known as the Habitats Directive) was enacted in Ireland under the European Natural Habitats Regulations, 1997.

This indicates that those areas designated as areas of nature conservation designated for salmon should strive to achieve the water quality targets that are necessary for the designated species.

While it is current government policy for all rivers to meet national water quality standards, the Agency feels that favourable condition standards as detailed below should be the water quality targets for all salmonid rivers within its jurisdiction.

8.1 Favourable condition tables, target levels

The publication, Conserving Natura 2000 Rivers, the European Life Series, Ecology Series; No 7 Ecology of the Atlantic Salmon, *Salmo salar* L. have indicated that there are specific favourable conditions for this species.

An annual mean of less than 10 milligrams per litre suspended solids for nursery grounds, and annual mean of less than 25 milligrams per litre for migratory passage and the setting of soluble reactive phosphorous targets in relation to river reach types which should be as near background levels.

Parameter	Level	Percentile	Reason
BOD mg/l	2.5	90	GQA class A
Ammonia mg/l	0.25	90	GQA class A
Dissolved Oxygen % Saturation	80	10	GQA class A
Unionised Ammonia mg/l	0.025	95	Favourable Conditions Habitat Forming
Suspended solids mg/l			Specific for Atlantic Salmon
Nursery grounds	10		
Migratory passage	25		
Soluble Reactive Phosphorous mg/l	Background	-	Specific for Atlantic Salmon

Table 8.1 Favourable condition targets for Atlantic salmon




Fig 8.1 Culdaff catchment Biological Q values. Data supplied by Environmental Protection Agency

9.0 CONSERVATION AND PROTECTION

The Loughs Agency continues to carry out an active fishery protection role throughout the catchments of the Foyle and Carlingford areas including the sea area, River Foyle and on all tributaries. Tables 9.0, 9.01 and 9.02 outline the number of patrols and some duties carried out by the Loughs Agency staff in the Culdaff catchment and seizures for the Foyle area.

A team of Fishery Officers are responsible for the Culdaff catchment dividing their time between the Finn catchment, Deelee catchment and the standing waters within the Loughs Agency western zone. This is in addition to regular fishery protection patrols on the River Foyle.

Year	Number of Patrols	No of Licence Checks	Joint Patrols	On-site Inspections
2006	0	0	1	0
2007	12	463 (W. Zone)	0	0
2008	14	714 (W. Zone)	0	0

Table 9.0 Breakdown of conservation and protection duties, Culdaff catchment 2006 - 2008

Year	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
Nets	114	100	97	114	181	198	207
Salmon	92	56	91	118	130	155	94
Rod & Reel	136	85	26	10	16	12	22
Vehicles	1	0	2	1	1	0	0

Table 9.01 Seized nets, salmon, rod/reels and vehicles in the Foyle system 2002-2008

Year	Nets	Salmon/Trout	Rod/Reel	Vehicles	Boats
2006	4	0	0	0	0
2007	3	0	0	0	0
2008	17	0	0	0	0

Table 9.02 Seizures in the Culdaff catchment 2006-2008

9.1 Habitat Improvement Works

In addition to the traditional protection duties carried out by the Loughs Agency staff conservation and improvement of habitat has been increasing over recent years.

Over time man has imposed significant changes on the natural courses of many rivers and flood plains. The driving forces behind these changes have included amongst others; arterial drainage schemes to provide more suitable land for agricultural purposes, urban sprawl, infrastructure expansion (roads etc.), flood defences, water abstraction and hydro power generation. All have had a significant impact on the natural meanderings and discharges of rivers and tributaries resulting in faster runoff of floodwaters ultimately leading to a change in the morphology and flow regime of rivers and resultant impacts on fisheries.

While all these processes have had some impact within the Foyle system, it is still considered to be a relatively natural system with natural river structure present in the catchments headwaters. In areas that have been altered methods for reinstating lost habitat are investigated and where appropriate action taken.

In 2006 the Loughs Agency carried out significant preparatory habitat improvement works within the Culdaff Catchment. The preparatory works included bush and tree cutting to reduce the impacts of river tunnelling which can excessively cover a river depriving the river of light and therefore impacting on the productive capacity of a river. Tree and bush cutting was carried out along approximately 4km of the Culdaff River.

Phase 2 of the Culdaff River habitat improvement programme will involve direct in-channel works designed to increase the quality and availability of quality fish habitat and provide bank protection where necessary. These works will be monitored closely and their effects on juvenile fish numbers assessed.

10.0 ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

Some environmental issues affecting water quality have already been outlined previously. The following list presents some of the main habitat pressures to salmonids within the Foyle system:

- Agricultural activities – enrichment from natural and artificial fertilisers often make their way into watercourses, enhancing problems with eutrophication.
- Forestry activities – planting and felling operations can lead to increased loading of suspended solids in watercourses. Established forestry as a major upland land use has been attributed to increased acidification.

- Barriers to migration – a range of natural and anthropogenic features on rivers can lead to barriers for migrating salmonids and other fish species. These can include weirs and hydro-electric schemes.
- Gravel removal – gravel is extremely important for the creation of redds for spawning fish. Removal of gravel from the river bed in sensitive areas can destroy potential spawning and nursery habitat.
- Quarrying activities – the extraction of aggregates such as rock, sand and gravel has the potential to cause increased levels of suspended solids in nearby watercourses. Sufficient mitigation measures should be in place at such sites to trap increased sediment loads entering rivers and streams.
- Abstraction – water abstraction from watercourses for a range of uses is increasing throughout the Foyle and Carlingford catchments. Unless appropriately assessed and licensed, these activities have the potential to reduce residual flow levels and alter the ecological status of our rivers. This is even more concerning in the light of climate change.
- Peat harvesting – Peat harvesting still occurs in small upland pockets throughout the Foyle system. It has the potential to increase sediment loading in receiving waters.
- Sewage treatment – sewage and waste water treatment works are under considerable pressure with the increase in urban development in our towns and villages. Several inadequate systems throughout the Foyle system continue to pollute rivers.
- Hydropower – small-scale hydropower schemes are beginning to appear on rivers throughout the Foyle and Carlingford catchments. Baseline fishery data must be provided to allow for sufficient assessment of any proposed scheme, unless located above an impassable fish barrier.
- Urban development – the expansion of large-scale housing developments and the associated pressures on waste water and sewage treatment works are a potential source of water pollution in the event of overflows.
- Drainage and canalisation – these have direct impacts on the quality of available fishery habitat within the catchments. Canalisation in particular can lead to the removal of important spawning, nursery or holding areas of rivers.
- Industrial discharges – larger urban areas with industrial discharges have the potential to cause pollution through toxic discharges and can alter the temperature of the watercourse.
- Septic tanks – a proliferation of single dwellings and their septic tanks is an ongoing area of concern. Initial research from parts of the Foyle system indicate that this is a major contributor to decreased water quality and local increases in suspended solids.

11.0 DESIGNATED AREAS

The European Commission Directive on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora (EU Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC) requires that all member states designate Special Areas of Conservation (SACs) in order to protect threatened habitats and species. The European Commission Directive on the Conservation of Wild Birds (Birds Directive 79/409/EEC) also requires the designation of Special Protected Areas (SPA's). Together the designated SAC's and SPA's create the NATURA 2000 network of protected sites. A number of rivers have been designated as SAC's both in Northern Ireland and in the Republic of Ireland including the River Finn.

Part of Lough Foyle SPA is within the Culdaff and Lough Foyle Tributaries Catchment and has been designated for breeding and over wintering birds.

The Culdaff estuary forms part of the North Inishowen SAC which has been designated to protect coastal habitat and species. Magheradrimman Bog has also been designated as an SAC which includes Lough Fad East. The population of Arctic Charr however is not included in the designation.

12.0 GENETIC STUDY

A baseline genetic survey was carried out in the Foyle system in 2003 and a resurvey conducted between 2006 and 2008 to analyse the populations of Atlantic salmon present within the Foyle catchment. Results confirmed the existence of genetically distinct populations between and within the rivers and tributaries of the Foyle area. An understanding of these genetically differentiated populations is required to facilitate appropriate management of conservation measures and the commercial/recreational fisheries.

The report concluded that genetic diversity is high between and within the various salmon populations present in the Foyle system. Each population has evolved over time creating distinct populations (with some gene flow from straying fish) that are best suited to the conditions present in a particular river or tributary. The non-uniform nature of the populations adds to the diversity of life history strategies exercised by Foyle salmon. Distinct differences such as run-timing and age at smolting can act as nature's insurance policy to any catastrophic events which would threaten a homogenous population.

The report stated that the current genetic structure and diversity of Foyle salmon is representative of what might be regarded as the native structure of wild salmon populations. The maintenance of genetic diversity is a core requirement for the long-term sustainability of wild populations, preserving the biodiversity of the wild

salmonids of the Foyle system is therefore a primary objective of the Loughs Agency.

13.0 POLLUTION MONITORING

The Loughs Agency has a statutory obligation to monitor the pollution of watercourses. In conjunction with the Northern Ireland Environment Agency all reported pollution incidents are investigated.

14.0 FISHERY OFFICERS CULDAFF AREA REPORT 2008

In 2008 Fishery Officers Liam McCallion, Hugh Sweeney and Ian Olphert continued to work within the Culdaff catchment. No report was submitted for the 2008 season.

15.0 ACTIONS FOR 2009

In order to fully utilise the extensive data resources collected and held by the Loughs Agency on the fish populations and habitats of the Culdaff catchment it is necessary to focus attention on specific management objectives.

The Loughs Agency has stated in its corporate plan 2008-2010 that it will conserve, protect, manage and improve the fisheries of the Foyle and Carlingford areas. By way of fulfilling these objectives a targeted series of actions utilising data collected over recent years will be implemented. Fishery owners and local angling associations will continue to be consulted regarding any proposed works and stakeholder input sought.

15.1 Foyle and Carlingford Areas Ongoing Actions for 2009

Good water quality is essential for the conservation of productive aquatic ecosystems. Fish populations rely on unpolluted water for survival and feeding. The Loughs Agency is committed to ensuring deleterious matter does not enter any watercourse. Routine monitoring is conducted throughout the Foyle and Carlingford areas. Proactive pollution visits and water quality monitoring will continue in 2009.

Water quantity is becoming an increasingly important issue from a fisheries management perspective with continuing demand from a variety of sources including industry, hydro power generation and abstraction for meeting the ever growing needs of industry and the wider population. The Loughs Agency are aware of the conflicting needs of aquatic environments and water resource users and comment on development issues which may have an impact on the important aquatic

resources of the Foyle and Carlingford areas with reference to national and international obligations.

In-channel and riparian habitat improvement projects provide an important mechanism by which to improve and protect valuable fishery resources. Over recent years the Loughs Agency has developed a number of projects designed to improve the survival and production of robust populations of juvenile salmonid and other native fish species. These programmes will continue where funding is available. The Loughs Agency also encourages local stakeholder groups to source appropriate funding to develop collaborative habitat improvement projects. The Loughs Agency can provide advice and recommendations for in-channel and riparian improvements and are eager to facilitate the development of such programmes.

Work is continuing to assess and record all **Barriers to Migration** within the catchments of the Foyle and Carlingford areas and these will be incorporated into the Loughs Agency Geographical Information System (GIS). Where finances are available the removal of artificial barriers will be investigated. **Predation by cormorants and seals** of economically important fish species continues to be a contentious issue. The Loughs Agency will continue to promote the development of a management strategy incorporating economic, social and environmental factors.

The Loughs Agency will continue to monitor the salmon and inland fishery resources of the Foyle and Carlingford areas, utilising best practice methods including fish counters, juvenile population surveys and catch returns. The importance of the Atlantic salmon resource has been further highlighted by recent genetic studies which have identified the presence of genetically distinct populations of salmon between and within main river catchments. This information will be utilised when developing habitat improvement programmes to ensure the presence of a diverse resource capable of withstanding change.

Invasive species in both aquatic and riparian habitats have become an important issue in fisheries management and in wider environmental management. Invasive species have the potential to significantly alter ecosystems and their function. The Loughs Agency is contributing towards the development and implementation of invasive species codes of practice.

15.2 Culdaff Catchment Potential Habitat Improvement Schemes for 2009

- There is great potential for sensitive habitat improvement works to be conducted within the Culdaff catchment to improve juvenile habitat. A habitat improvement programme will be developed for the Culdaff catchment in 2009.

- Investigate the potential for harnessing volunteer workforce to develop small scale high impact habitat improvement schemes within the Culdaff catchment
- Investigate the potential for holding pilot on site practical workshops on habitat improvement methods at key sites within the Culdaff catchment and encourage attendance by interested volunteers.

15.3 Culdaff Catchment Specific Actions for 2009

- Conduct annual fish population surveys and spawning surveys
- Conduct ongoing water quality monitoring and investigate areas highlighted as being of concern
- Develop potential habitat improvement projects including riparian buffer zone creation, fencing, native species planting and in-channel habitat improvements including spawning bed and nursery habitat improvement
- Monitor forestry operations adjacent to watercourses or areas likely to impact on watercourses
- Ensure all fish passes, dams and mill races meet required standards